arXiv:1402.4603v1 [math.CO] 19 Feb 2014

Resolvable 3-star designs

Selda Küçükçifçi *

Department of Mathematics
Koç University
Istanbul
Turkey

skucukcifci@ku.edu.tr

Giovanni Lo Faro †‡

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica
Università di Messina
Messina
Italia

lofaro@unime.it

Salvatore Milici §

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica
Università di Catania
Catania
Italia

milici@dmi.unict.it

Antoinette Tripodi ¶

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica
Università di Messina
Messina
Italia

atripodi@unime.it

Abstract

Let K_v be the complete graph of order v and F be a set of 1-factors of K_v. In this article we study the existence of a resolvable decomposition of $K_v - F$ into 3-stars when F has the minimum number of 1-factors. We completely solve the case in which F has the minimum number of 1-factors, with the possible exception of $v \in \{40, 44, 52, 76, 92, 100, 280, 284, 328, 332, 428, 472, 476, 572\}$.

*Research supported by Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey Grant Number: 110T692

†Corresponding author

‡Supported by PRIN, PRA and I.N.D.A.M (G.N.S.A.G.A.), Italy

§Supported by I.N.D.A.M (G.N.S.A.G.A.), Italy

¶Supported by PRIN, PRA and I.N.D.A.M (G.N.S.A.G A.), Italy

AMS Subject classification: 05B05.
Keywords: Resolvable graph decomposition; uniform resolutions; 3-stars designs.

1 Introduction

Given a collection of graphs $\mathcal{H}$, an $\mathcal{H}$-*decomposition* of a graph G(also called $\mathcal{H}$-*design*) is a decomposition of the edges of G into isomorphic copies of graphs from $\mathcal{H}$; the copies of $H \in \mathcal{H}$ in the decomposition are called *blocks*. Such a decomposition is called *resolvable* if it is possible to partition the blocks into *classes* $\mathcal{P}_i$ such that every point of G appears exactly once in some block of each $\mathcal{P}_i$.

A resolvable $\mathcal{H}$-decomposition of G is sometimes also referred to as a $\mathcal{H}$-*factorization of* G; a class can be called a $\mathcal{H}$-*factor of* G. The case where $\mathcal{H}$ is a single edge (K_2) is known as a 1-*factorization of* G and it is well known to exist for $G = K_v$ if and only if v is even. A single class of a 1-factorization, a pairing of all points, is also known as a 1-*factor* or a *perfect matching*.

In many cases we wish to impose further constraints on the classes of an $\mathcal{H}$-decomposition. For example, a class is called *uniform* if every block of the class is isomorphic to the same graph from $\mathcal{H}$. Of particular note is the result of Rees ([9]) which finds necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of uniform $\{K_2, K_3\}$-decompositions of K_v. Uniformly resolvable decompositions of K_v have also been studied in [2], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [10], [11], [12] and [13]. Moreover, recently in the case $\mathcal{H} = \{G_1, G_2\}$ the question of the existence of a uniformly resolvable decomposition of K_v into r classes of G_1 and s classes of G_2 have been studied in the case in which the number s of G_2-factors is maximum. Dinitz, Ling and Danziger ([3]) have solved the case $\mathcal{H} = \{K_2, K_4\}$ and Kucukcifci, Milici and Tuza ([6]) the case $\mathcal{H} = \{K_3, K_{1,3}\}$. In what follows, we will denote by $(a_1; a_2, a_3, a_4)$ the 3-*star*, $K_{1,3}$ having vertex set $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$ and edge set $\{\{a_1, a_2\}, \{a_1, a_3\}, \{a_1, a_4\}\}$. We will use the notation $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD$(v; r, s)$ to denote a uniformly resolvable decomposition of K_v into r classes containing only copies of K_2 (i.e. 1-factors) and s classes containing only copies of 3-stars.

In this paper, the main purpose is to investigate the existence of a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD$(v; r, s)$ in the case in which $s > 0$ and r is minimum. In particular, we will prove the following result:

Main Theorem. *For each* $v \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, *there exists a* $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-*URD*$(v; r(v), \frac{2(v-1-r(v))}{3})$, *with* $r(v)$ *as in the Table 1 and with the possible exception of* $v \in \{40, 44, 52, 76, 92, 100, 280, 284, 328, 332, 428, 472, 476, 572\}$.

v	$r(v)$
0 (mod 12)	5
4 (mod 12)	3
8 (mod 12)	1

Table 1: The set $r(v)$.

2 Necessary conditions

In this section we will give necessary conditions for the existence of a uniformly resolvable decomposition of K_v into r 1-factors and s classes of 3-stars, $s > 0$.

Lemma 2.1. *If there exists a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD$(v; r, s)$, $s > 0$, then $v \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ and $s \equiv 0 \pmod 4$.*

Proof. Assume that there exists a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD$(v; r, s)$ D, $s > 0$. By resolvability it follows that $v \equiv 0 \pmod 4$. Counting the edges of K_v that appear in D we obtain

$$\frac{rv}{2} + \frac{3sv}{4} = \frac{v(v-1)}{2}$$

and hence

$$2r + 3s = 2(v-1). \tag{1}$$

Denote by B the set of s parallel classes of 3-stars and by R the set of r parallel classes of K_2. Since the classes of R are regular of degree 1, we have that every vertex x of K_v is incident with r edges in R and $(v-1)-r$ edges in B. Assume that the vertex x appears in a classes with degree 3 and in b classes with degree 1 in B. Since

$$a + b = s \quad \text{and} \quad 3a + b = v - 1 - r,$$

the equality (1) implies that

$$2(v - 1 - 3a - b) + 3(a + b) = 2(v - 1)$$

and hence

$$b = 3a.$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 2.2. *A $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD$(v; 0, s)$ does not exist for any $v \geq 4$.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a uniformly resolvable decomposition D of K_v into s classes containing only copies of 3-stars with $s > 0$. Counting the

edges of K_v that appear in D we obtain

$$s = \frac{2(v-1)}{3}.$$

Since, by Lemma 2.1, $s = 4t$ it follows

$$2(v-1) = 12t,$$

which is a contradiction, because $v-1$ cannot be even for any $v \geq 4$. □

Given $v \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, define $J(v)$ according to the following table:

v	$J(v)$
$0 \pmod{12}$	$\{(v-1-6x, 4x), x = 0, 1, \ldots, \frac{v-6}{6}\}$
$4 \pmod{12}$	$\{(v-1-6x, 4x), x = 0, 1, \ldots, \frac{v-4}{6}\}$
$8 \pmod{12}$	$\{(v-1-6x, 4x), x = 0, 1, \ldots, \frac{v-2}{6}\}$

Table 2: The set $J(v)$.

Lemma 2.3. *If there exists a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD$(v; r, s)$ then $(r, s) \in J(v)$.*

Proof. Let D be a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD$(v; r, s)$. Lemma 2.1 gives $s \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, Equation (1) $r \equiv (v-1) \pmod 3$ and so

- if $v \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$, then $r \equiv 2 \pmod 3$,
- if $v \equiv 4 \pmod{12}$, then$r \equiv 0 \pmod 3$,
- if $v \equiv 8 \pmod{12}$, then $r \equiv 1 \pmod 3$.

Letting $s = 4x$ in the Equation (1), we have $r = (v-1) - 6x$; since r and s cannot be negative, and x is an integer, the value of x has to be in the range as given in the definition of $J(v)$. □

3 Costructions and related structures

In this section we will introduce some useful definitions, results and discuss constructions we will use in proving the main result. For missing terms or results that are not explicitly explained in the paper, the reader is referred to [1] and its online updates. For some results below, we also cite this handbook instead of the original papers. A (resolvable) $\mathcal{H}$-decomposition of the complete multipartite graph with u parts each of size g is known as a (resolvable) group divisible design $\mathcal{H}$-(R)GDD of type g^u, the parts of size g are called the groups of the design. When $\mathcal{H} = K_n$ we will call it an n-(R)GDD.

A $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URGDD (r, s) of type g^u is a uniformly resolvable decomposition of the complete multipartite graph with u parts each of size g into r 1-factors and s classes containing only copies of 3-stars.

If the blocks of an $\mathcal{H}$-GDD of type g^u can be partitioned into partial parallel classes, each of which contain all points except those of one group, we refer to the decomposition as a *frame*. When $\mathcal{H} = K_n$ we will call it an *n-frame* and it is easy to deduce that the number of partial parallel classes missing a specified group G is $\frac{|G|}{n-1}$.

An incomplete resolvable $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-decomposition of K_{v+h} with a hole of size h is a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-decomposition of $K_{v+h} - K_h$ in which there are two types of classes, *partial* classes which cover every point except those in the hole (the points of K_h are referred to as the *hole*) and *full* classes which cover every point of K_{v+h}. Specifically a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-IURD$(v+h, h; [r_1, s_1], [\bar{r}_1, \bar{s}_1])$ is a uniformly resolvable $(K_2, K_{1,3})-$decomposition of $K_{v+h} - K_h$ with r_1 1-factors and s_1 classes of 3-stars which cover only the points not in the hole, $\bar{r}_1$ 1-factors and $\bar{s}_1$ classes of 3-stars which cover every point of K_{v+h}.

We now recall the existence of some 4-RGDDs and 4-frames we will need in the proof.

Lemma 3.1. ([1], [13]) *There exists a 4-RGDD of type*

- 4^t *for each* $t \equiv 1 \pmod 3$, $t \geq 4$;
- 3^t *for each* $t \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, $t \geq 4$;
- 2^{22}, 2^{106} *and* 2^{142}.

Lemma 3.2. ([13]) *There exists a 4-frame of type* 6^t *for each* $t \equiv 1 \pmod 2$, $t \geq 5$ *with the possible exception of* $t \in \{7, 23, 27, 35, 39, 47\}$.

We also need the following definitions. Let (s_1, t_1) and (s_2, t_2) be two pairs of non-negative integers. Define $(s_1, t_1) + (s_2, t_2) = (s_1 + s_2, t_1 + t_2)$. If X and Y are two sets of pairs of non-negative integers, then $X + Y$ denotes the set $\{(s_1, t_1) + (s_2, t_2) : (s_1, t_1) \in X, (s_2, t_2) \in Y\}$. If X is a set of pairs of non-negative integers and h is a positive integer, then $h * X$ denotes the set of all pairs of non-negative integers which can be obtained by adding any h elements of X together (repetitions of elements of X are allowed).

Theorem 3.3. *Let v, g, t and u be non-negative integers such that $v = gtu$. If there exists*

(1) *a 4-RGDD of type g^u;*

(2) *a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URGDD(r_1, s_1) of type t^4 with $(r_1, s_1) \in J_1$;*

(3) *a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD$(gt; r_2, s_2)$, with $(r_2, s_2) \in J_2$;*

then there exists a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$*-URD*$(v; r, s)$ *for each* $(r, s) \in J_2 + h * J_1$*, where* $h = \frac{g(u-1)}{3}$ *is the number of parallel classes of the 4-RGDD of type* g^u*.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a 4-RGDD of type g^u, with u groups G_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, u$, of size g; let $R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_h$, $h = \frac{g(u-1)}{3}$, be the parallel classes of this 4-RGDD. Expand each point t times and for each block b of a given resolution class of $\mathcal{G}$ place on $b \times \{1, 2, \ldots, t\}$ a copy of a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URGDD(r_1, s_1) of type t^4 with $(r_1, s_1) \in J_1$. For each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, u$, place on $G_i \times \{1, 2, \ldots, t\}$ a copy of a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD$(gt; r_2, s_2)$ with $(r_2, s_2) \in J_2$. The result is a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD$(v; r, s)$ with $(r, s) \in \{J_2 + h * J_1\}$. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let* v*,* g*,* t*,* h *and* u *be non-negative integers such that* $v = gtu + h$*. If there exists*

(1) *a 4-frame* $\mathcal{F}$ *of type* g^u*;*

(2) *a* $(K_2, K_{1,3})$*-URD*$(h; r_1, s_1)$ *with* $(r_1, s_1) \in J_1$*;*

(3) *a* $(K_2, K_{1,3})$*-URGDD*(r_2, s_2) *of type* t^4 *with* $(r_2, s_2) \in J_2$*;*

(4) *a* $(K_2, K_{1,3})$*-IURD*$(gt+h, h; [r_1, s_1], [r_3, s_3])$ *with* $(r_1, s_1) \in J_1$ *and* $(r_3, s_3) \in J_3 = \frac{g}{3} * J_2$*;*

then exists a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$*-URD*$(v + h; r, s)$ *for each* $(r, s) \in J_1 + u * J_3$*.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a 4-frame of type g^u with groups G_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, u$; expand each point t times and add a set $H = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_h\}$. For $j = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{g}{3}$, let $p_{i,j}$ be the j-th partial parallel class which miss the group G_i; for each $b \in p_{i,j}$, place on $b \times \{1, 2, \ldots, t\}$ a copy $D^b_{i,j}$ of a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URGDD(r_2, s_2) of type t^4, with $(r_2, s_2) \in J_2$; place on $G_i \times \{1, 2, \ldots, t\} \cup H$ a copy D_i of a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-IURD$(gt + h, h; [r_1, s_1], [r_3, s_3])$ with H as hole, $(r_1, s_1) \in J_1$ and $(r_3, s_3) \in J_3 = \frac{g}{3} * J_2$. Now combine all together the parallel classes of $D^b_{i,j}$, $b \in p_{i,j}$, along with the full classes of D_i so to obtain r_3 1-factors and s_3 classes of 3-stars, $(r_3, s_3) \in J_3$, on $\cup_{i=1}^{u} G_i \times \{1, 2, \ldots, t\} \cup H$. Fill the hole H with a copy D of $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD$(h; r_1, s_1)$ with $(r_1, s_1) \in J_1$ and combine the classes of D with the partial classes of D_i so to obtain r_1 1-factors and s_1 classes of 3-stars on $\cup_{i=1}^{u} G_i \times \{1, 2, \ldots, t\} \cup H$. The result is a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD$(v + h; r, s)$ for each $(r, s) \in J_1 + u * J_3$.

□

4 Small cases

Lemma 4.1. *There exists a* $(K_2, K_{1,3})$*-URGDD*$(0, 4)$ *of type* 2^4*.*

Proof. Take the groups to be $\{0,1\},\{2,3\},\{4,5\},\{6,7\}$ and the classes as listed below:
$\{(0;2,4,6),(1;3,5,7)\}$, $\{(2;4,1,6),(3;5,0,7)\}$, $\{(5;2,0,7),(4;1,3,6)\}$,
$\{(6;1,3,5),(7;0,4,2)\}$. □

Lemma 4.2. *There exists a* $(K_2, K_{1,3})$*-URD*$(8;1,4)$.

Proof. The assertion follows by Lemma 4.1. □

Lemma 4.3. *There exists a* $(K_2, K_{1,3})$*-URD*$(12;5,4)$.

Proof. Let $V(K_{12})$=$\mathbb{Z}_{12}$, and the classes as listed below:
$\{(0;4,5,6),\ (7;8,9,10),\ (11;1,2,3)\}$,$\{\ (1;5,6,7),\ (4;9,10,11),\ (8;0,2,3)\}$,
$\{(2;4,6,7),\ (5;8,10,11),\ (9;0,1,3)\}$,$\{(3;4,5,7),\ (6;8,9,11),\ (10;0,1,2)\}$,
$\{\{0,7\},\{1,4\},\{2,5\},\{3,6\},\{8,11\},\{9,10\}\}$,
$\{\{0,1\},\{3,10\},\{2,9\},\{4,8\},\{5,6\},\{7,11\}\}$,
$\{\{0,11\},\{1,8\},\{2,3\},\{4,7\},\{6,10\},\{5,9\}\}$,
$\{\{0,2\},\{1,3\},\{4,5\},\{6,7\},\{8,10\},\{9,11\}\}$,
$\{\{0,3\},\{1,2\},\{5,7\},\{4,6\},\{8,9\},\{10,11\}\}$. □

Lemma 4.4. *There exists a* $(K_2, K_{1,3})$*-URGDD*$(4,8)$ *of type* 8^3.

Proof. Let $\{a_0,a_1\ldots,a_7\}$, $\{b_0,b_1,\ldots,b_7\}$ and $\{c_0,c_1,\ldots,c_7\}$ be the groups and the classes as listed below:
$\{(a_0;b_1,b_2,b_3),\ (b_0;c_0,c_2,c_6),\ (c_4;a_1,a_2,a_3),\ (b_7;c_1,c_5,c_7),\ (c_3;a_4,a_5,a_6),$
$(a_7;b_4,b_5,b_6)\}$,
$\{(a_1;b_0,b_2,b_3),\ (b_1;c_1,c_3,c_7),\ (c_5;a_0,a_2,a_3),\ (b_4;c_2,c_4,c_6),\ (c_0;a_7,a_5,a_6),$
$(a_4;b_7,b_5,b_6)\}$,
$\{(a_2;b_1,b_0,b_3),\ (b_2;c_0,c_2,c_4),\ (c_6;a_1,a_0,a_3),(b_5;c_3,c_5,c_7),\ (c_1;a_4,a_7,a_6),$
$(a_5;b_4,b_7,b_6)\}$,
$\{(a_3;b_1,b_2,b_0),\ (b_3;c_1,c_3,c_5),\ (c_7;a_1,a_2,a_0),\ (b_6;c_0,c_4,c_6),\ (c_2;a_4,a_5,a_7),$
$(a_6;b_4,b_5,b_7)\}$,
$\{(a_0;b_4,b_5,c_4),\ (b_7;c_0,c_6,a_1),\ (c_2;b_6,a_2,a_3),\ (b_0;c_3,c_5,a_6),\ (c_7;a_4,a_7,b_3),$
$(a_5;b_1,b_2,c_1)\}$,
$\{(a_1;b_5,b_6,c_5),\ (b_4;c_1,a_2,c_7),\ (c_3;a_3,a_0,b_7),\ (b_1;a_7,c_0,c_6),\ (c_4;a_4,a_5,b_0),$
$(a_6;b_2,b_3,c_2)\}$,
$\{(a_2;b_6,b_7,c_6),\ (b_5;a_3,c_2,c_4),\ (c_0;a_1,a_0,b_4),\ (b_2;a_4,c_1,c_7),\ (c_5;b_1,a_5,a_6),$
$(a_7;b_0,b_3,c_3)\}$,
$\{(a_3;b_4,b_7,c_7),\ (b_6;a_0,c_3,c_5),\ (c_1;a_1,a_2,b_5),\ (b_3;c_2,c_4,a_5),\ (c_6;b_2,a_6,a_7),$
$(a_4;c_0,b_0,b_1)\}$,
$\{\{a_0,b_0\},\{a_1,b_1\},\{a_2,b_2\},\{a_3,b_3\},\{a_4,c_5\},\{a_5,c_6\},\{a_6,c_7\},\{a_7,c_4\},\{b_4,c_3\},$
$\{b_5,c_0\},\{b_6,c_1\},\{b_7,c_2\}\}$,
$\{\{a_0,c_1\},\{a_1,c_2\},\{a_2,c_3\},\{a_3,c_0\},\{a_4,b_3\},\{a_5,b_0\},\{a_6,b_1\},\{a_7,b_2\},\{b_4,c_5\},$
$\{b_5,c_6\},\{b_6,c_7\},\{b_7,c_4\}\}$,
$\{\{a_0,c_2\},\{a_1,c_3\},\{a_2,c_0\},\{a_3,c_1\},\{a_4,b_4\},\{a_5,b_5\},\{a_6,b_6\},\{a_7,b_7\},\{b_0,c_7\},$

$\{b_1, c_4\}, \{b_2, c_5\}, \{b_3, c_6\}\}$,
$\{\{a_0, b_7\}, \{a_1, b_4\}, \{a_2, b_5\}, \{a_3, b_6\}, \{a_4, c_6\}, \{a_5, c_7\}, \{a_6, c_4\}, \{a_7, c_5\}, \{b_0, c_1\}$,
$\{b_1, c_2\}, \{b_2, c_3\}, \{b_3, c_0\}\}$.

□

Lemma 4.5. *There exists a* $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-*URD*$(24; 5, 12)$.

Proof. Take a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URGDD$(4, 8)$ of type 8^3, which exists by Lemma 4.4. Place on each of the groups a copy of a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD$(8; 1, 4)$ which exists by Lemma 4.2. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 4.6. *There exists* $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-*URGDD*$(0, 8)$ *of type* 4^4.

Proof. Take the groups to be $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$, $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$, $\{b_1, b_2, b_3, , b_4\}$ and $\{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\}$ and the classes are obtained by reducing subscripts modulo 4 the following base blocks:
$\{(a_1; b_2, c_3, x_2)\}$, $\{(b_1; a_3, c_3, x_3)\}$, $\{(c_1; b_2, a_2, x_3)\}$, $\{(x_1; b_2, a_3, c_2)\}$, $\{(a_1; b_1, c_1, x_1)\}$, $\{(b_1; a_2, c_1, x_1)\}$, $\{(c_1; b_4, a_4, x_1)\}$, $\{(x_1; b_4, a_2, c_4)\}$.

□

Lemma 4.7. *There exists a* $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-*IURD*$(16, 4; [3, 0], [0, 8])$.

Proof. Start from the $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URGDD$(0, 8)$ of type 4^4 of Lemma 4.6 and fill in the groups $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$, $\{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4\}$ and $\{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\}$ with a copy of a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD$(4; 3, 0)$ to obtain a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-IURD$(16, 4; [3, 0], [0, 8])$ with $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ as hole. □

Lemma 4.8. *There exists a* $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-*URD*$(16; 3, 8)$.

Proof. The assertion follows by Lemma 4.7. □

Lemma 4.9. *There exists a* $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-*IURD*$(28, 4; [3, 0], [0, 16])$.

Proof. Let the point set be $V = \{x, a, b, c, d, f, g\} \times \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and let $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ be the hole.

- Take 16 classes of 3-stars on V listed bellow:
  $\{(x_i; a_{i+3}, b_{i+3}, d_{i+3}), (a_i; a_{i+1}, c_{i+3}, g_{i+2}), (b_i; b_{i+1}, c_{i+2}, f_{i+3}), (d_i; d_{i+1}, g_{i+3}, f_{i+2}), (c_i; c_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, x_{i+3}), (f_i; f_{i+1}, b_{i+2}, x_{i+1}),(g_i; g_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, d_{i+2}), i \in Z_4\}$,
  $\{(x_i; c_{i+2}, f_{i+1}, g_{i+1}), (a_{i+3}; c_i, x_{i+3}, b_i), (b_{i+2}; x_{i+2}, d_{i+2}, f_{i+2}), (d_{i+1}; x_{i+1}, b_{i+3}, a_{i+2}), (c_{i+1}; a_{i+1}, g_{i+2}, d_{i+3}), (f_i; c_{i+3}, a_i, g_{i+3}), (g_i; f_{i+3}, b_{i+1}, d_i), i \in Z_4\}$,
  $\{(x_i; a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}, d_{i+1}), (f_{i+3}; a_{i+2}, x_{i+1}, c_i), (c_{i+2}; x_{i+3}, d_{i+3}, f_i), (g_{i+1}; x_{i+2}, d_i, a_i), (a_{i+3}; g_{i+2}, b_{i+2}, g_{i+3}), (b_i; g_i, c_{i+1}, f_{i+1}), (d_{i+2}; b_{i+3}, f_{i+2}, c_{i+3}), i \in Z_4\}$,
  $\{(x_i; f_i, c_i, g_i), (a_{i+1}; d_{i+1}, x_{i+3}, b_{i+3}), (b_i; x_{i+2}, c_{i+3}, a_i), (d_{i+3}; x_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, a_{i+2}), (g_{i+3}; b_{i+1}, b_{i+2}, f_{i+3}), (f_{i+1}; a_{i+3}, d_i, c_{i+1}), (c_{i+2}; d_{i+2}, g_{i+1}, g_{i+2}), i \in Z_4\}$.

- Take three 1-factors on $\{\{a,b,c,d,f,g\}\times\{1,2,3,4\}\}$:
  $\{\{a_i,f_{i+3}\},\{(b_i,d_{i+1}\},\{c_i,g_{i+2}\},i\in Z_4\}$, $\{\{a_i,d_{i+2}\},\{b_i,c_i\},\{f_i,g_{i+2}\},i\in Z_4\}$,
  $\{\{a_1,a_3\},\{a_2,a_4\},\{b_1,b_3\},\{b_2,b_4\},\{c_1,c_3\},\{c_2,c_4\},\{d_1,d_3\},\{d_2,d_4\},\{f_1,f_3\},\{f_2,f_4\},\{g_1,g_3\},\{g_2,g_4\}\}$.

□

Lemma 4.10. *There exists a* $(K_2,K_{1,3})$*-URD*$(28;3,16)$.

Proof. The assertion follows by Lemma 4.9. □

Lemma 4.11. *There exists a* $(K_2,K_{1,3})$*-IURD*$(20,8;[1,4],[0,8])$.

Proof. Let the point set be $V=Z_{20}$ and let $H=\{0,1,\ldots,7\}$ be the hole.

- Take the 8 classes of 3-stars on V listed bellow:
  $\{(0;8,9,10),(1;11,12,13),(2;14,15,16),(17;3,4,5),(18;6,7,19)\}$,
  $\{(0;11,12,13),(1;8,9,10),(2;17,18,19),(14;3,4,5),(15;6,7,16)\}$,
  $\{(3;8,9,10),(4;11,12,15),(5;16,18,19),(13;2,6,7),(14;0,1,17)\}$,
  $\{(3;11,12,13),(4;8,9,16),(6;14,17,19),(10;2,5,7),(15;0,1,18)\}$,
  $\{(5;8,9,11),(7;14,16,17),(10;4,6,19),(12;2,13,15),(18;0,1,3)\}$,
  $\{(6;8,9,16),(11;2,7,10),(15;3,5,13),(17;0,1,18),(19;4,12,14)\}$,
  $\{(7;8,12,19),(9;2,10,14),(11;6,15,18),(13;4,5,17),(16;0,1,3)\}$,
  $\{(8;2,10,15),(9;7,11,13),(12;5,6,17),(18;4,14,16),(19;0,1,3)\}$.

- Take the 4 partial classes of 3-stars on V listed bellow:
  $\{(8;9,12,18),(11;13,14,19),(17;10,15,16)\}$,
  $\{(8;11,13,17),(10;12,15,18),(16;9,14,19)\}$,
  $\{(9;17,18,19),(14;10,12,15),(16;8,11,13)\}$,
  $\{(12;9,11,16),(13;10,14,18),(19;8,15,17)\}$.

- Take the partial 1-factors on V:
  $\{8,14\},\{9,15\},\{10,16\},\{11,17\},\{12,18\},\{13,19\}$.

□

Lemma 4.12. *There exists a* $(K_2,K_{1,3})$*-URD*$(20;1,12)$.

Proof. The assertion follows by Lemmas 4.11 and 4.2. □

Lemma 4.13. *There exists a* $(K_2,K_{1,3})$*-URD*$(v;3,\frac{2(v-4)}{3})$ *for* $v=88,424,568$.

Proof. Start from a 4-RGDD $\mathcal{G}$ of type $2^{\frac{v}{4}}$ which exists for $v=88,424,568$ [13]. Give weight 2 to every point of $\mathcal{G}$ and for each block of a given resolution class of $\mathcal{G}$ place a copy of a $(K_2,K_{1,3})$-RGDD$(0,4)$ of type 2^4 which exists by Lemma 4.1. Fill each group of size 4 with a copy of a $(K_2,K_{1,3})$-URD$(4;3,0)$. Applying Theorem 3.3 with $g=t=2$ and $u=\frac{v}{4}$, we obtain a $(K_2,K_{1,3})$-URD$(v;3,\frac{2(v-4)}{3})$. □

5 Main results

Lemma 5.1. *For every* $v \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$ *there exists a* $(K_2, K_{1,3})$*-URD* $(v; 5, \frac{2(v-6)}{3})$.

Proof. Let $v = 24s$. The case $s = 1$ corresponds to a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD $(24; 5, 12)$ which exists by Lemma 4.5. For $s > 1$, start from a 4-RGDD of type 3^{4s} ([1]) and apply Theorem 3.3 with $t = 2$ to obtain a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD $(v; 5, \frac{2(v-6)}{3})$ (the input designs are a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URGDD$(0, 4)$ of type 2^4 by Lemma 4.1, and a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD$(6; 5, 0)$). □

Lemma 5.2. *For every* $v \equiv 12 \pmod{24}$ *there exists a* $(K_2, K_{1,3})$*-URD* $(v; 5, \frac{2(v-6)}{3})$.

Proof. Let $v = 12(2s + 1)$, $s \geq 0$. The case $s = 0$ corresponds to a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD $(12; 5, 4)$ which exists by Lemma 4.3. For $s \geq 1$ start from a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URGDD $(0, \frac{2(v-12)}{3})$ of type 12^{1+2s}, which exists by Lemma 5.4 of [6]. Filling each group of size 12 with a copy of a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD$(12; 5, 4)$, which exists by Lemma 4.3, gives a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD $(v; 5, \frac{2(v-6)}{3})$. □

Lemma 5.3. *For every* $v \equiv 8 \pmod{24}$ *there exists a* $(K_2, K_{1,3})$*-URD* $(v; 1, \frac{2(v-2)}{3})$.

Proof. Let $v = 8 + 24s$. The case $s = 0$ corresponds to a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD $(8; 1, 4)$ which exists by Lemma 4.2. For $s \geq 1$, start from a 4-RGDD of type 4^{1+3s} ([1]) and apply Theorem 3.3 with $t = 2$ to obtain a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD $(v; 1, \frac{2(v-2)}{3})$ (the input designs are a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URGDD$(0, 4)$ of type 2^4 from Lemma 4.1 and a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD$(8; 1, 4)$ from Lemma 4.2). □

Lemma 5.4. *For every* $v \equiv 16 \pmod{24}$*, with the possible exception of* $v \in \{40, 280, 328, 472\}$*, there exists a* $(K_2, K_{1,3})$*-URD* $(v; 3, \frac{2(v-4)}{3})$.

Proof. Let $v = 16+24s$. The cases $v = 16, 88, 424, 568$ are covered by Lemmas 4.8 and 4.13. For $v > 40$ and $v \neq 280, 328, 472$ start from a 4-frame of type 6^{1+2s} ([12]) and apply Theorem 3.4 with $t = 2$ and $h = 4$ to obtain a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD $(v; 3, \frac{2(v-4)}{3})$ (the input designs are a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD $(4; 3, 0)$, a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URGDD$(0, 4)$ of type 2^4 from Lemma 4.1 and a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-IURD $(16, 4; [3, 0], [0, 8])$ from Lemma 4.7). □

Lemma 5.5. *For every* $v \equiv 4 \pmod{24}$*, with the possible exception of* $v \in \{52, 76, 100\}$*, there exists a* $(K_2, K_{1,3})$*-URD* $(v; 3, \frac{2(v-4)}{3})$.

Proof. Let $v = 4 + 24s$. The case $v = 28$ follows by Lemma 4.10. For $v > 100$ start from a 4-frame of type 12^s ([13]) and apply Theorem 3.4 with $t = 2$ and $h = 4$ to obtain a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD $(v; 3, \frac{2(v-4)}{3})$ (the input designs are a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD $(4; 3, 0)$, a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URGDD$(0, 4)$ of type 2^4 from Lemma 4.1 and a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-IURD$(28, 4; [3, 0], [0, 16])$ from Lemma 4.9). □

Lemma 5.6. *For every* $v \equiv 20 \pmod{24}$*, with the possible exception of* $v \in \{44, 92, 284, 332, 428, 476, 572\}$*, there exists a* $(K_2, K_{1,3})$*-URD* $(v; 1, \frac{2(v-2)}{3})$.

Proof. Let $v = 20 + 24s$. The case $v = 20$ follows by Lemma 4.12. For $v > 44$ and $v \neq 92, 284, 332, 428, 476, 572$ start from a 4−frame of type 6^{1+2s} ([13]) and apply Theorem 3.4 with $t = 2$ and $h = 8$ to obtain a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD $(v; 1, \frac{2(v-2)}{3})$ (the input designs are a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URD $(8; 1, 4)$ from Lemma 4.2, a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-URGDD$(0, 4)$ of type 2^4 from Lemma 4.1 and a $(K_2, K_{1,3})$-IURD$(20, 8; [1, 4], [0, 8])$ from Lemma 4.11). □

Combining Lemmas 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5 and 5.6 we obtain the main theorem of this article.

Theorem 5.7. *For each* $v \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$*, there exists a* $(K_2, K_{1,3})$*-URD*$(v; r(v), \frac{2(v-1-r(v))}{3})$*, with* $r(v)$ *as in the Table 1 and with the possible exception of* $v \in \{40, 44, 52, 76, 92, 100, 280, 284, 328, 332, 428, 472, 476, 572\}$.

References

[1] C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz, *The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL (2007). Online updates <www.emba.uvm.edu/∼dinitz/newresults.html>.

[2] P. Danziger, G. Quattrocchi and B. Stevens, *The Hamilton-Waterloo problem for cycle sizes* 3 *and* 4, J. Comb. Des. **12** (2004), 221–232.

[3] J. H. Dinitz, A. C. H. Ling and P. Danziger, *Maximum uniformly resolvable designs with block sizes* 2 *and* 4, Discrete Math. **309** (2009), 4716–4721.

[4] M. Gionfriddo, S. Milici, *On the existence of uniformly resolvable decompositions of* K_v *and* $K_v - I$ *into paths and kites*, Discrete Math. **313** (2013), 2830–2834.

[5] P. Hell and A. Rosa, *Graph decompositions, handcuffed prisoners and balanced* P*-designs*, Discrete Math. **2** (1972), 229–252.

[6] S. Kucukcifci, S. Milici and Zs. Tuza, *Maximum uniformly resolvable decompositions of* K_v *into 3-stars and 3-cycles*, manuscript (2012).

[7] S. Milici, *A note on uniformly resolvable decompositions of* K_v *and* $K_v - I$ *into* 2*-stars and* 4*-cycles*, Austalas. J. Combin.,**56** (2013), 195–200.

[8] S. Milici and Zs. Tuza, *Uniformly resolvable decompositions of* K_v *into* P_3 *and* K_3 *graphs*, manuscript (2012).

[9] R. Rees, *Uniformly resolvable pairwise balanced designs with block sizes two and three*, J. Comb. Th. Ser. A **45** (1987), 207–225.

[10] E. Schuster, *Uniformly resolvable designs with index one and block sizes three and four-with three or five parallel classes of block size four*, Discrete Math. **309** (2009), 2452–2465.

[11] E. Schuster, *Uniformly resolvable designs with index one and block sizes three and five and up to five with blocks of size five*, Discrete Math. **309** (2009), 4435–4442.

[12] E. Schuster, G. Ge *On uniformly resolvable designs with block sizes* 3 *and* 4, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **57** (2010), 47–69.

[13] E. Schuster, *Small uniformly resolvable designs for block sizes* 3 *and* 4, J. Comb. Designs **21** (2013), 481–523.